Mechanistic Roles of Hydroxide in Controlling the Deposition of Gold on Colloidal Silver Nanocrystals
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ABSTRACT: This article describes a systematic study of the roles played by hydroxide in controlling the deposition of Au on Ag nanocubes for the fabrication of diversified Ag–Au bimetallic nanocrystals. The synthesis simply involves the titration of aqueous HAuCl₄ into an aqueous suspension of Ag nanocubes in the presence of ascorbic acid (H₂Asc), NaOH, and poly(vinylpyrrolidone) at room temperature. The OH⁻ ions from NaOH can affect the reduction kinetics of the Au(III) precursor in a number of ways and thereby the deposition pathways of the Au atoms. First of all, the OH⁻ can accelerate the reduction kinetics by neutralizing H₂Asc into ascorbate monoanion (HAsc−), the true player behind the reduction power of ascorbic acid. Second, the OH⁻ can neutralize the added HAuCl₄ and progressively transform AuCl₃⁻ into AuCl₃(OH)²⁻, AuCl₂(OH)₃⁻, AuCl(OH)₄⁻, or Au(OH)₄⁻ through ligand exchange, generating Au(III) precursors with increasingly lower reduction potentials and thus lower probability for galvanic replacement reaction with Ag nanocubes than AuCl₃⁻. Third, the OH⁻ can react with the Ag⁺ ions released from the galvanic reaction to generate Ag₂O patches at the corners of Ag nanocubes. Our results indicate that the deposition of Au on Ag nanocubes can follow two distinct pathways depending on the initial pH of the reaction solution. When the initial pH is controlled in the range of 10.3–11.9, the reduction of Au(III) is initiated by Ag nanocubes but dominated by HAsc− afterward, leading to the formation of Ag@Au core-frame and then core–shell nanocubes. In contrast, if the initial pH is controlled in the range of 3.2–4.8, both the galvanic replacement with Ag nanocubes and the chemical reduction by HAsc− contribute to the conversion of Au(III) to Au atoms. The Ag⁺ ions released from the galvanic replacement can also be reduced by HAsc− to transform Ag nanocubes into Ag@Ag–Au concave nanocubes with hollow interiors and alloyed walls.

INTRODUCTION

Silver nanocrystals have been actively explored for a variety of applications including those related to localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR),1–3 surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS),4–7 colorimetric sensing,8,9 and catalyst/phocatalysis.10,11 Despite the large number of demonstrations, the ultimate performance of Ag nanocrystals is often compromised by their shape instability under an oxidative environment. In the case of Ag nanocubes, for example, the atoms located at corners and edges could be readily oxidized to Ag⁺ ions, which result in the transformation of shape and thus the deterioration of SERS activity.12 On the other hand, while Ag has remarkable catalytic properties for oxidation reactions such as ethylene epoxidation,11,13 its capability toward reduction reactions tends to be compromised when compared with other noble metals such as Pd and Pt.

One strategy to expand the application landscape of Ag nanocrystals is to introduce another noble metal (M) such as Au, Pd, or Pt to generate diversified and yet well-defined Ag–M bimetallic nanocrystals. For example, conformally coating the surface of Ag nanocubes with ultrathin shells made of Au could greatly improve their chemical stability under a corrosive environment.14,15 Selective deposition of Pd atoms on the edges of Ag nanocubes has been demonstrated for the fabrication of Ag@Pd core-frame nanocubes that exhibit a combination of catalytic activities toward both oxidation and reduction reactions.16–18 In particular, the presence of Ag in the cores of these nanostructures has led to the development of bifunctional probes capable of reporting the catalytic reactions in situ by SERS. In developing these advanced bimetallic nanocrystals, it is critical to be able to defeat the galvanic replacement reaction between the Ag nanocrystals and a precursor to M atoms.19 Also, it is pivotal to control the sites at which the newly formed M atoms will be deposited.

Seed-mediated growth offers a powerful route to the aforementioned bimetallic nanocrystals. In a typical synthesis, Ag nanocrystals (the seeds) are dispersed in an aqueous solution containing ascorbic acid (H₂Asc, a forerunner of the reducing agent) and poly(vinylpyrrolidone) (PVP, a colloidal stabilizer), followed by the titration of a precursor solution. However, the capability of this approach is limited by galvanic replacement when the metal M is less reactive than Ag. The
involvement of galvanic replacement will make it difficult to control the outcome of seeded growth and even cause degradation to the properties associated with the nanocrystals. To suppress the galvanic replacement, for example, between Au(III) and Ag, we demonstrated that it was essential to increase the pH of the reaction solution to a level above 11.2 by introducing NaOH. Our hypothesis was that the Au(III) precursor would be reduced by ascorbic acid exclusively before they could undertake galvanic replacement with Ag, leading to the generation of Au atoms for their conformation deposition on the Ag nanocrystals. Despite the successful synthesis of Ag@Au core–shell nanocubes with a shell thickness controlled at three or six atomic layers, we were unable to pin down the mechanistic roles played by the NaOH added into the reaction system.

From the perspective of chemical reactions, the OH \(^-\) ions can serve a number of different roles in a typical synthesis of Ag–Au bimetallic nanocrystals. First, H\(_2\)Asc is a weak diprotic acid with dissociation constants of \(pK_a = 4.2\) and \(pK_a = 11.6\), respectively, at 25 °C. According to literature, it is the ascorbate monoanion (HAsc\(^-\)), rather than the parent acid (H\(_2\)Asc), that can donate electrons and thus act as a reducing agent (Figure S1). The dissociation equilibrium of H\(_2\)Asc into HAsc\(^-\) and H\(^+\) can be pushed to the right side as more OH \(^-\) is introduced, which leads to a higher concentration for HAsc\(^-\), the actual reducing agent. The increasingly accelerated reduction of the Au(III) precursor by HAsc\(^-\) can eventually suppress the galvanic replacement reaction with the Ag nanocrystals. Second, it is well-established that AuCl\(_4\)\(^-\) suppress the galvanic replacement reaction with the Ag nanocrystals. In this case, the reaction of Ag nanocubes with a shell thickness controlled at three or six atomic layers, we were unable to pin down the mechanistic roles played by the NaOH added into the reaction system.

The \(\text{pH}\) or \(\text{pH}_{\text{as}}\) of the added \(\text{H}_{2}\text{Asc}\) was neutralized by NaOH to yield HAsc\(^-\), slowing down the reduction kinetics. The added HAuCl\(_4\) could still be neutralized to generate AuCl\(_4\)\(^-\), which then evolved into AuCl\(_3\)(OH)\(^-\) and AuCl\(_2\)(OH)\(^-\) through ligand exchange. All these Au(III) species could undergo galvanic replacement with Ag nanocubes. However, the released Ag\(^+\) ions could not be converted to Ag\(_2\)O under an acidic condition, and instead, they were reduced to Ag atoms by HAsc\(^-\) and codeposited with Au atoms on the Ag nanocubes. As a result, the Ag nanocubes were transformed into Ag@Ag–Au concave nanocubes with hollow interiors and alloyed walls.

### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

#### Chemicals and Materials

Silver trifluoroacetate (CF\(_3\)COOAg, 98%), gold(III) chloride trihydrate (HAuCl\(_3\)·3H\(_2\)O, 99.9+%), sodium hydrosulphite hydrate (NaHS\(_x\)·xH\(_2\)O), aqueous hydrochloric acid (HCl, 37%), PVP with an average molecular weight of 29 000 (PVP-29k) or 55 000 (PVP-55k), L-ascorbic acid (H\(_2\)Asc, 99%), sodium hydroxide (NaOH, 98+%), and hydrogen peroxide (H\(_2\)O\(_2\), 30 wt % in H\(_2\)O) were all acquired from Sigma-Aldrich. Ethylene glycol (EG) was purchased from J. T. Baker. All chemicals were used as received. The aqueous solutions were prepared using deionized (DI) water with a resistivity of 18.2 M\(_\Omega\) cm at room temperature. The aqueous solutions of HAuCl\(_4\) and NaOH used in the present work were 0.1 mM and 0.2 M, respectively, in molar concentration, unless otherwise specified in the text.

#### Synthesis of Ag Nanocubes

We prepared Ag nanocubes with an edge length of 38.6 ± 1.3 nm by following the protocol developed by Xia and co-workers. After precipitation with acetone, the nanocubes were collected by centrifugation at 6500 rpm for 5 min, washed with DI water three times, and then dispersed in water for storage and further use. Figure S2 shows typical scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of the Ag nanocubes.

#### Synthesis of Ag–Au Bimetallic Nanocubes

In a standard protocol, 2 mL of aqueous PVP-29k (1 mM) was added into a 23 mL glass vial, followed by the introduction of 0.5 mL of aqueous H\(_2\)Asc (0.1 M) and a specific volume (0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5 M\(_L\)) of aqueous NaOH (0.2 M). After mixing under magnetic stirring, we pipetted 19 \(\mu\)L of the aqueous suspension of Ag nanocubes into the mixture with a final concentration of 4.2 × 10\(^{10}\) particles/mL. Afterward, aqueous HAuCl\(_4\) (0.1 mM) was titrated into the mixture using a syringe pump at a rate of 0.02 mL/min at room temperature. The reaction solution was maintained for another 10 min once the titration was completed. The products were collected by centrifugation at 6500 rpm for 12 min, washed twice with water, and then dispersed in water for further characterization.

#### Selective Etching of Ag from the Ag@Ag Core–Shell Nanocubes

We incubated the as-prepared sample in a solution containing 0.7 mL of PVP-29k (1 mM) and 0.3 mL of H\(_2\)Asc (0.1 M) at room temperature for 10 min. The particles were then collected by centrifugation at 6000 rpm for 12 min, followed by redispersion in 0.1 mL of water. We then introduced 1 mL of 3% H\(_2\)O\(_2\), and the etching was allowed to proceed for 2 h. The final products were collected by
Selectively Etching of Ag from Ag@Ag–Au Concave Nanocubes. We dispersed as-obtained sample in 0.1 mL of DI water at room temperature. We then introduced 1 mL of 3% H2O2, and the etching was allowed to proceed for 2 h. The final products were collected by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 18 min, followed by washing twice with water and redispersion in water for further characterization.

Analyzing the Galvanic Replacement Reaction between Ag Nanocubes and HAuCl4 in the Absence and Presence of NaOH. In the absence of NaOH, we pipetted 2 mL of aqueous PVP-29k (1 mM) to 0.5 mL of water in a 23-ml glass vial, and the pH was 4.3 for the reaction solution. To adjust the pH to 11.4, we prepared the aqueous reaction solution by introducing 2 mL of PVP-29k (1 mM), 0.06 mL of NaOH (0.2 M), and 0.44 mL of water to a 23-ml glass vial. In each case, 19 μL of the aqueous suspension of Ag nanocubes was introduced, followed by the titration of 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, and 0.8 mL of aqueous HAuCl4 (0.1 mM) using a syringe pump at a rate of 0.02 mL/min at room temperature. After the completion of titration, we waited for 10 min and then collected both solid and supernatant for inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) measurements to determine the Au and Ag contents in each sample.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We started with the preparation and characterization of aqueous suspensions containing the same amount of Ag nanocubes, H2Asc, and PVP, but different amounts of NaOH. As shown in Figure 1A, the pH value of the reaction solution containing 0.5 mL of 0.1 M H2Asc increased from 3.2 to 4.1, 4.8, 10.3, 11.5, and 11.9 when 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, and 0.5 mL of 0.2 M aqueous NaOH was added, respectively. This is a typical curve when a weak acid is titrated with a strong base. Ascorbic acid is a weak diprotic acid, with pKa at 4.2 and 11.6, respectively.20 After the addition of 0.25 mL of NaOH solution, the reaction solution (pH = 7.2) should be dominated by ascorbate monoanion (HAsc−) as all the H2Asc (0.05 mmol) in the system should have been neutralized by the OH− according to the following reaction:

\[
H_2Asc + OH^- = HAsc^- + H_2O
\]

According to literature, it is HAsc−, not H2Asc, that can donate electrons and serve as a reducing agent (Figure S2).20 As such, we expect that the reducing power of the same aqueous solution of H2Asc will differ significantly when its pH is adjusted to the alkaline and acidic regions because of the large difference in HAsc− concentration. The difference in reduction kinetics, in turn, would lead to the formation of distinct products when Ag nanocubes are reacted with HAuCl4 in an aqueous system, as observed in our previous studies.24,25

In the initial survey experiments, we employed UV−vis spectroscopy to compare the LSPR properties of the Ag nanocubes before and after reacting with 0.4 mL of 0.1 mM aqueous HAuCl4 titrated into the reaction solutions with different initial pH values. As shown in Figure 1B, when the initial pH was controlled at 3.2, 4.1, and 4.8, the major LSPR peak of the Ag nanocubes was notably shifted from 430 to 461, 472, and 479 nm, respectively. These results suggest that the reaction with HAuCl4 caused major structural or morphological changes to the Ag nanocubes when the reaction solution was acidic, with an initial pH in the range of 3.2−4.8. In comparison, if the initial pH was tuned to 10.3, 11.5, and 11.9, the major LSPR peak of the Ag nanocubes only showed slight shift from 430 to 442, 441, and 441 nm, respectively. The insignificant shift in peak position indicates that the structure or morphology of the parental Ag nanocubes was largely preserved during the titration of HAuCl4 when the reaction solution was alkaline, with an initial pH in the range of 10.3−11.9.

We then used electron microscopy to characterize the products obtained upon the titration of 0.4 mL of 0.1 mM HAuCl4 solution in the presence of different amounts of NaOH and thus different initial pH values. Figure 2 shows SEM and TEM images of the as-obtained products. At an initial pH of 3.2 (i.e., without adding any NaOH), the products exhibited slightly sharpened edges in addition to the observation of small pits on the surface (Figure 2A). The TEM image in Figure 2B confirms the presence of voids in most of the nanocubes. The formation of voids can be attributed to the involvement of galvanic replacement reaction between the Ag nanocubes and the Au(III) precursor titrated into the reaction solution. The released Ag+ ions and the titrated Au(III) precursor were both reduced to atoms for their codeposition onto the edges of the nanocubes, and as a result, their edges appeared to be sharpened, consistent with our previous findings.25 When the initial pH was increased to 4.1 by adding 0.1 mL of the aqueous NaOH, the products also exhibited sharpened edges and corners, but with fewer voids and increased concaveness for the
Figure 2. SEM (left panel) and TEM (right panel) images of Ag nanocubes after reacting with 0.4 mL of 0.1 mM aqueous HAuCl₄ at different initial pH values for the reaction solutions: (A, B) 3.2, (C, D) 4.1, (E, F) 4.8, and (G, H) 11.9, respectively.

This observation suggests that the galvanic replacement reaction was retarded to a certain extent with the addition of NaOH. The increase in concaveness indicates that more atoms were preferentially deposited on the edges and side faces of the nanocubes. It is worth mentioning that the increase in concaveness could also contribute to the significant red-shift of the LSPR peak to 472 nm. When the initial pH was further increased to 4.8 by introducing 0.2 mL of the aqueous NaOH, the SEM image indicates that the particles started to take a rough surface and more concave shape (Figure 2E), consistent with the observation of wavy edges observed under TEM (Figure 2F). The TEM image indicates the absence of voids in the nanocubes. In this case, the galvanic reaction was further suppressed because of the increase in initial pH. Again, the codeposition of Au and Ag atoms on the edges gave rise to nanocubes with a concave shape, supporting the red-shift of LSPR peak position to 479 nm. When the initial pH of the reaction solution was further increased to the alkaline region with a pH of 11.9 by adding 0.5 mL of the aqueous NaOH, the as-obtained particles exhibited a morphology similar to the parental Ag nanocubes, except for slight truncation at the corner sites (Figure 2G,H). Under this circumstance, there was essentially no involvement of galvanic replacement reaction and the Au(III) precursor was reduced to Au atoms for their conformal deposition on the edges and side faces. The as-obtained particles showed an LSPR peak at 441 nm, which closely resembled that of the original Ag nanocubes at 430 nm.

According to the outcomes of the survey experiments, the reaction can be divided into two major groups, corresponding to alkaline and acidic conditions, respectively. As such, we decided to focus on the products obtained by titrating different volumes of aqueous HAuCl₄ into aqueous suspensions of Ag nanocubes with an initial pH in the alkaline and acidic regions, respectively. In the first set of experiments, we introduced 0.5 mL of the aqueous NaOH into a mixture of Ag nanocubes, H₂Asc, and PVP to attain an initial pH of 11.9, followed by the titration of different volumes of the aqueous HAuCl₄. As shown in Figure S3A, the pH of the reaction solution gradually decreased from 11.9 to 10.0 during the introduction of 0.8 mL of aqueous HAuCl₄ due to its acidic characteristic (pH = 4.0 for a 0.1 mM aqueous solution). Within this pH range, the solution of H₂Asc should be dominated by HAsc⁻. Figure 3, A, C, and E show typical TEM images of the samples obtained at titration volumes of 0.2, 0.4, and 0.8 mL, respectively, for the HAuCl₄ solution. We noticed that their morphology was very similar to that of the original Ag nanocubes, except for an increase in edge length from 38.6 ± 1.3 nm to 38.9 ± 1.7 nm, 39.3 ± 1.6 nm, and 40.3 ± 1.9 nm, respectively. To reveal the distribution of Au atoms on the surface of Ag nanocubes, we used 3% aqueous H₂O₂ to selectively remove Ag while leaving Au intact. Following one of our recently established protocols, we collected aliquots of samples shown in Figure 3, A, C, and E and incubated them in an aqueous solution containing PVP-29k and H₂Asc for 10 min. The treated samples were then collected by centrifugation and etched in 3% H₂O₂. As shown in Figure 3B, nanoframes with pores at corners and sizes slightly smaller than the original nanocubes were formed upon the removal of Ag cores from the sample obtained with the titration of 0.2 mL of aqueous HAuCl₄. The nanoframes shrank in dimensions relative to the original nanocubes because they were not rigid enough to sustain the sample drying process. The small openings at the corners confirm that the Au atoms were preferentially deposited on the edges of the nanocubes with the corners largely uncovered. At 0.4 mL, the TEM image in Figure 3D shows that nanocages with pores at the corners and side faces were obtained after the removal of Ag cores. In this case, the Au atoms were deposited on the edges and side faces of the nanocubes for the generation of Ag@Au core−shell nanocubes. The integrity of the Au layer on side faces was compromised by the inadequate amount of HAuCl₄ and as a result, there were pores on the side faces after the removal of Ag template. The TEM image in Figure 3F shows the formation of nanoboxes with well-defined pores at the corner sites after the removal of Ag cores from the sample obtained with the addition of 0.8 mL of HAuCl₄. By comparing with the conventional nanocages reported in literature, the nanoboxes exhibited well-defined openings at the corner sites and continuous side faces, which suggested that the Au atoms were continuously deposited on
the side faces for the formation of thicker Au shells that could survive the etching process.

In another set of experiments, we performed a parallel study by adding 0.2 mL of the NaOH solution to attain an initial pH of 4.8 while keeping all the other parameters the same as in Figure 3. As shown in Figure S3B, the pH of the reaction solution was slightly decreased from 4.8 to 4.6 with the addition of 0.1 mM aqueous HAuCl₄ up to 0.8 mL. Figure 4 shows TEM images of the samples obtained after adding different volumes of the HAuCl₄ solution and the corresponding structures after H₂O₂ etching. At a titration volume of 0.2 mL, we observed the transformation of Ag nanocubes into nanocubes with sharpened corners (Figure 4A) and then cubic nanoframes upon the removal of Ag cores (Figure 4B). Some nanoframes exhibited pores at the corners while the edges were slightly distorted due to the lack of rigidity. When the volume of HAuCl₄ solution was increased to 0.4 and 0.8 mL, we noticed that more Au atoms were accumulated at the corners and edges, together with more pronounced surface roughness and surface concaveness, and void formation (Figure 4C,E). These results support our argument that Ag could be continuously oxidized through the galvanic replacement reaction with Au(III) in an acidic solution. The released Ag⁺ ions together with Au(III) were then reduced into atoms, followed by their deposition on the edges and corners of the Ag nanocubes. During the etching of Ag cores and the dealloying of Ag from their walls, the structures evolved into nanocages with decreasing porosity on the side faces (Figure 4D,F). These results also suggest that the deposited Au and Ag atoms could migrate from edges and corners to the side faces as more precursor was introduced.

We also characterized the LSPR properties of the Ag nanocubes before and after reacting with different amounts of HAuCl₄ in the presence of 0.5 and 0.2 mL of the aqueous NaOH, respectively, to give initial pH values of 11.9 and 4.8. As shown in Figure 5A, the major LSPR peak was only shifted from 430 to 445 nm in the case of an initial pH of 11.9, consistent with the argument that the Au atoms are conformally deposited on the surface of Ag nanocubes without involving galvanic replacement reaction. In contrast, at an initial pH of 4.8, the major LSPR peak of the Ag nanocubes was significantly shifted from 430 to 512 nm, as shown in Figure 5B. This result can be attributed to the presence of pits/voids formed through the galvanic replacement reaction and the increase in concaveness due to the preferential deposition of Au and Ag atoms on the edges.

On the basis of the experimental results presented in Figures 3 and 4, we propose two scenarios to account for the reaction involving HAuCl₄, H₂Asc, and Ag nanocubes at pH initially

Figure 3. TEM images of products before (left panel) and after (right panel) treatment with H₂Asc and then 3% aqueous H₂O₂. The samples were prepared at an initial pH of 11.9 by titrating different volumes of 0.1 mM aqueous HAuCl₄: (A, B) 0.2, (C, D) 0.4, and (E, F) 0.8 mL, respectively.

Figure 4. TEM images of products before (left panel) and after (right panel) treatment with 3% aqueous H₂O₂. The samples were prepared at an initial pH of 4.8 by titrating different volumes of 0.1 mM aqueous HAuCl₄: (A, B) 0.2, (C, D) 0.4, and (E, F) 0.8 mL, respectively.
HAuCl₄ at initial pH of (A) 11.9 and (B) 4.8, respectively.

For the formation of different types of Ag–Au bimetallic nanocrystals under alkaline and acidic conditions, respectively.

Figure 5. UV–vis spectra recorded from suspension of the Ag nanocubes after reacting with different volumes of 0.1 mM aqueous HAuCl₄ at initial pH of (A) 11.9 and (B) 4.8, respectively.

Three reactions involving OH⁻

H₂Asc + OH⁻ → HAsc⁺ + H₂O (pKₐ = 4.2)

AuCl₃⁻ + nOH⁻ → AuCl₃(OH)ⁿ⁻ + nCl⁻ (n = 1–4)

2Ag⁺ + 2OH⁻ → Ag₂O + H₂O

Figure 6. Schematic illustration of two pathways proposed to account for the formation of different types of Ag–Au bimetallic nanocrystals under alkaline and acidic conditions, respectively.

in the range of 10.3–11.9, galvanic replacement can still occur between the Ag nanocubes and the first few drops of aqueous HAuCl₄. The oxidation and dissolution of Ag atoms are initiated from the corners due to their lower reactivity than the atoms situated on the edges and side facets. The released Ag⁺ ions immediately react with the surrounding OH⁻ ions to generate Ag₂O patches that ultimately cover the entire (111) facets at the corner sites. The Ag₂O patches can protect the underlying Ag atoms from further oxidation, so the galvanic reaction will cease shortly after it is initiated. From this point, the added HAuCl₄ is mostly converted to AuCl(OH)₃⁻ and Au(OH)₄⁻ through neutralization and ligand exchange with OH⁻ ions. These two species have lower reduction potentials than AuCl₃⁻, helping suppress the galvanic replacement reaction. As a result, Au atoms are mainly produced through the chemical reduction by HAsc⁻, followed by their preferential deposition on the edges of the nanocubes. As the synthesis proceeds with the introduction of more HAuCl₄, the side faces of nanocubes are also covered by Au atoms through direct deposition or surface diffusion from the edges. Because of the presence of oxide patches and therefore increase in nucleation energy barrier, the Au atoms should not be directly deposited on the corners. As shown in Figure S4, we still observed the presence of Au at the corner sites of a nanocube. It is possible that surface diffusion could transport Au atoms from edges to corners, generating an ultrathin layer on top of the Ag₂O patches. Collectively, the final products are Ag@Au core–shell nanocubes with their corners covered by Ag₂O patches and then ultrathin Au layers. When the Ag₂O patches are removed with an acid to lift off the Au deposited on the Ag₂O regions, followed by selective etching of the Ag cores by H₂O₂, the core–shell nanocubes evolve into Au-based nanoboxes with well-defined openings at the corner sites.

If the synthesis is conducted in an acidic solution with an initial pH in the range of 3.2–4.8, the reduction power of H₂Asc will be compromised due to a reduced concentration for HAsc⁻. On the other hand, the titrated HAuCl₄ will dissociate into H⁺ and AuCl₃⁻, followed by the evolution of AuCl₃⁻ into AuCl₄(OH)⁻ and AuCl₃(OH)₂⁻ through ligand exchange. In this case, the Au(III) species can be reduced by both the Ag nanocubes and HAsc⁻ through galvanic replacement and chemical reduction, respectively. The galvanic reaction tends to occur randomly from any site on a Ag nanocube, while the resultant Au atoms are preferentially deposited on the edges. Because one Au atom is produced at the expenses of three Ag atoms during the galvanic reaction between Au(III) and Ag, a pit will be generated on the surface of a nanocube. As the titration volume of HAuCl₄ is increased, the Ag⁺ ions will be continuously released from the Ag nanocube, further enlarging the pit. At the same time, the released Ag⁺ ions and Au(III) species can both be reduced by HAsc⁻ to generate Ag and Au atoms, respectively, followed by their codeposition on the edges. Some of these atoms can migrate to the corners through surface diffusion, transforming the Ag nanocube into a Ag@Ag–Au core–frame nanocube with cavities in the interior. As more HAuCl₄ is added, the cavity will be enlarged and the Ag and Au atoms resulting from the coreduction will be continuously deposited on the edges and corners, followed by their diffusion to side faces. At the end, Ag@Ag–Au hollow nanocubes with concave side faces are formed. When the Ag cores are selectively removed through wet etching with H₂O₂, the nanocubes evolve into Au–Ag nanocages with pores on the side faces.

To further support our argument, we compared the standard reduction potentials of Ag⁺, Au₃⁵⁺, and HAsc⁻ at different pH values. Figure S5 plots their reduction potentials as a function of pH by taking their values from the literature. As the pH is increased from 2 to 12, the reduction potential of Au(III)/Au(0) (0.80 V vs SHE) up to pH = 6.3. As a result, the driving force for the galvanic replacement between Au(III) and Ag(0) should decrease as the pH is
increased. It is worth mentioning that the increase in pH can neutralize more HAsc into HAsc−, ultimately accelerating the reduction kinetics for the Au(III) precursor. As a result, the Au(III) precursor will be reduced primarily by HAsc− rather than the Ag nanocubes under the alkaline condition. We have also systematically studied and compared the extents of galvanic replacement in the absence or presence of NaOH (with no involvement of HAsc in both cases) at initial pH of 4.3 and 11.4, respectively. Specifically, we titrated different volumes of HAuCl4 into the aqueous suspension of Ag nanocubes in the presence of PVP only and waited for 10 min before collecting both the solid and supernatant by centrifugation to determine the Ag and Au contents by ICP-MS. As shown in Figure S6, in the absence of NaOH and at an initial pH of 4.3, the Au content in the solid products increased linearly with the volume of HAuCl4 titrated into the reaction solution. At each titration volume, the Au(III) ions remaining in the supernatant was essentially zero. These data suggest that the added HAuCl4 was completely reduced by the Ag nanocubes via the galvanic replacement reaction. In comparison, in the presence of NaOH at pH = 11.4, only 50% of the titrated Au(III) precursor was converted to Au atoms for their deposition on the Ag nanocubes, which indicated that the galvanic replacement reaction was significantly retarded. At an initial pH of 11.4, the added AuCl4− is quickly converted to AuCl(OH)3− and Au(OH)4−, both with lower reduction potentials than AuCl3−, making the galvanic reaction with Ag more difficult. Additionally, any Ag+ ions released from the Ag nanocubes will react with OH− for the generation of Ag2O on the surface of a Ag nanocube, further helping inhibit the galvanic reaction.

We assume that the HAuCl4 precursor titrated into the aqueous suspension of Ag nanocubes should be immediately neutralized by OH− to yield AuCl4− before it further undergoes ligand exchange to generate a mixture of AuCl(OH)3− and Au(OH)4− at pH > 10. To fully understand the role of ligand exchange between Cl− and OH− in affecting the deposition of Au on Ag nanocubes, we performed another control experiment. In this case, we premixed 0.03 mL of HAuCl4 (10 mM) with 0.1 mL of NaOH (0.2 M) in 2.87 mL of water to obtain a 0.1 mM Au(III) precursor solution with pH = 11.5. We then titrated the Au(III) precursor solution into an aqueous suspension of Ag nanocubes in the presence of HAsc−, NaOH, and PVP at an initial pH of 11.9. Figure S7A shows TEM image of the products obtained at a titration volume of 0.8 mL. The particles were essentially the same as those shown in Figure 3E. However, upon the removal of Au cores by H2O2 etching, the resultant nanoboxes shown in Figure S7B are different from those shown in Figure 3F. In this case, we observed a significant population of nanoboxes without openings at the corners. This result clearly shows the difference between AuCl4− and Au(OH)4−, which suggests that the conversion between them through the ligand exchange with OH− was not instantaneous. Interestingly, the initial involvement of galvanic replacement between AuCl4− and the Ag atoms located at the corners of nanocubes was instrumental to the formation of Au-based nanoboxes with well-defined openings at the corners.

## CONCLUSIONS

We have demonstrated the role of hydroxide in controlling the deposition of Au atoms on Ag nanocubes for the generation of Ag−Au bimetallic nanocubes with different structures. When we conduct the synthesis in an alkaline solution with an initial pH in the range of 10.3−11.9, HAsc− is neutralized by OH− to give HAsc−, a strong reducing agent. The HAuCl4 precursor titrated into the aqueous suspension of Ag nanocubes is quickly neutralized by OH− to yield AuCl4−. The AuCl4− then undergo galvanic replacement with the Ag atoms situated at the corners of a nanocube to initiate the deposition of Au atoms at the edges. Because of the presence of OH− ions, the released Ag+ ions are immediately converted to Ag2O, generating oxide patches at the corners. As such, the galvanic reaction will be terminated shortly after it is initiated to prevent further dissolution of Ag from the nanocube. Afterward, AuCl4− is progressively converted into AuCl(OH)3− and Au(OH)4− through ligand exchange, and Au atoms are continuously produced through the reduction by HAsc−, followed by their deposition on the edges and side faces for the formation of nanocubes. When the initial pH of reaction solution was adjusted in the range of 3.2−4.8, the HAuCl4 precursor actually exists as a mixture of AuCl4−, AuCl3(OH)− and AuCl2(OH)2− in the reaction solution. These AuCl3− species will be reduced through both the galvanic reaction with Ag nanocubes and the chemical reduction by HAsc−. Additionally, the released Ag+ ions from the Ag nanocubes can be reduced by HAsc− to generate Ag atoms, followed by their codeposition with Au atoms on the edges of nanocubes. The codeposited Ag and Au atoms can spread to the corners and side faces by surface diffusion, eventually leading to the formation of Ag@Au@Ag core−shell nanocubes with hollow interiors as the volume of the titrated HAuCl4 is increased. Selective removal of the Ag cores leads to the formation of nanoframes or nanocages with poorly defined pores on the side faces. We believe this mechanistic study of Au deposition on colloidal Ag nanocrystals can provide guidance for the rational design of Ag-based bimetallic nanocrystals involving metals such as Au, Pd, and Pt for the fabrication of novel functional nanomaterials.
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